1	PAUL D. CLEMENT (DC Bar 433215) pclement@bancroftpllc.com				
2	H. CHRISTOPHER BARTOLOMUCCI (DC Bar 453423) cbartolomucci@bancroftpllc.com				
3	CONOR B. DUGAN (MI Bar P66901)				
4	cdugan@bancroftpllc.com NICHOLAS J. NELSON (MD Bar)				
5	nnelson@bancroftpllc.com				
6	BANCROFT PLLC 1919 M Street, NW, Suite 470				
7	Washington, DC 20036 202-234-0090 (phone); 202-234-2806 (fax)				
8	OF COUNSEL:				
9	KERRY W. KIRCHER, GENERAL COUNSEL (DC Bar 386816) Kerry.Kircher@mail.house.gov				
10	JOHN D. FILAMOR, SR. ASS'T COUNSEL (DC Bar 476240) John.Filamor@mail.house.gov				
11	CHRISTINE DAVENPORT, SR. ASS'T COUNSEL (NJ Bar) Christine.Davenport@mail.house.gov				
12	KATHERINE E. MCCARRON, ASS'T COUNSEL (DC Bar 486335) Katherine.McCarron@mail.house.gov				
13	WILLIAM PITTARD, ASS'T COUNSEL (DC Bar 482949) William.Pittard@mail.house.gov				
14	KIRSTEN W. KONAR, ASS'T COUNSEL (DC Bar 979176) Kirsten.Konar@mail.house.gov				
15	OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL				
16	U.S. House of Representatives 219 Cannon House Office Building				
17	Washington, DC 20515 202-225-9700 (phone); 202-226-1360 (fax)				
18	Counsel for Intervenor-Defendant The Bipartisan Legal				
19	Advisory Group of the U.S. House of Representatives				
20					
21					
22					
23	1				
	PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION REGARDING OPPOSITIONS TO MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND ANTICIPATED MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Case No. 3:10-cv-0257-JSW				

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
2	KAREN GOLINSKI,) Case No. 3:10-cv-0257-JSW			
3) Plaintiff,)			
4) [Proposed] ORDER GRANTING IN vs.) PART AND DENYING IN PART			
5	UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PERSONNEL) PLAINTIFF'S ADMINISTRATIVE) MOTION REGARDING			
6	MANAGEMENT, et al., OPPOSITIONS TO MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND ANTICIPATED			
7	Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Defendants.			
8) JODGMENT			
9				
10	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, and good cause so appearing, Plaintiff's			
11	Administrative Motion Regarding Oppositions to Motions to Dismiss and Anticipated Motion			
12	for Summary Judgment is hereby GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART, as follows:			
13	Plaintiff's deadline to oppose the motions to dismiss filed by intervenor-defendant the			
14	Bipartisan Legal Advisory Group of the U.S. House of Representatives ("the House") and			
15	defendants is hereby extended by one week to June 24, 2011. The House's and defendants'			
16	deadline to file reply briefs in support of their motions to dismiss is also extended by one week to			
17	July 8, 2011.			
18	Plaintiff is hereby granted leave to file a single consolidated memorandum of points and			
19	authorities of no longer than thirty pages opposing both motions to dismiss. Insofar as Plaintiff			
20	seeks leave to consolidate a memorandum of points and authorities in support of a motion for			
21	summary judgment with her memorandum opposing the motions to dismiss, her motion is			
22	denied as premature.			
23	2			
PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION REG OPPOSITIONS TO MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND ANTICIPATED MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT Case No. 3:10-cv-0257-JSW				

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
2	Dated: _June 15	, 2011.	Jeffry Swhits		
3			The Honorable Jeffrey S. White United States District Judge		
4			Office States District Judge		
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22			3		
23	Proposed order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's Administrative Motion Regarding Oppositions to Motions to Dismiss and Anticipated Motion for Summary Judgment Case No. 3:10-cv-0257-JSW				